



Funded by the European Commission under the Horizon 2020 Work Programme

## First findings from SEACRIFOG Stakeholders Consultation Workshops

Grieco E. <sup>1</sup>, Krkoška Lorencová E. <sup>2</sup>, Beck J. <sup>3</sup>, Helmschrot J. <sup>3</sup>, Acosta M. <sup>2</sup>, Salmon E. <sup>4</sup>, Sylla M.B. <sup>5</sup>, Bombelli A. <sup>1</sup>

1 Euro-Mediterranean Centre on Climate Change (CMCC Foundation), Italy. 2 Global Change Research Institute, CAS (CZG), Czech Republic. 3 Southern African Science Service Centre for Climate Change and Adaptive Land Management (SASSCAL), Namibia. 4 Integrated Carbon Observation System – European Research Infrastructure Consortium (ICOS ERIC), Finland, 5 West Africa Science Centre on Climate Change and Adapted Land Use (WASCAL), Burkina Faso.

SEACRIFOG project promotes the EU-Africa cooperation dialogue at different levels (policy, science, society) on the following themes: *land use, land use change, climate-smart agriculture, food security, carbon cycle and greenhouse gas (GHG) observations*.

In order to identify user needs, SEACRIFOG project initiated the **process to engage relevant stakeholders** from Africa and EU to exchange and gather existing knowledge. Two workshops have been organized in **East Africa** in Kenya, Nairobi (31<sup>st</sup> May 2017) and **West Africa** in Ghana, Sunyani (16<sup>th</sup> June 2017). In total, 44 participants from 19 organizations across Africa attended the first two SEACRIFOG Stakeholder Consultation workshops.





## **Aims of SEACRIFOG Consultation workshops**

The aim of the SEACRIFOG Stakeholder Consultation Workshops was to identify general user needs and knowledge gaps in the area of research infrastructure related to: 1) Land use change implications on food security, 2) GHG observations, carbon stocks and climate change mitigation, 3) Climate smart agriculture in Africa.

## Main findings of SEACRIFOG Stakeholders Consultation workshops

SEACRIFOG Stakeholders The two Consultation Workshops (East Africa and West Africa) underlined the importance of **sharing** data and knowledge and the need to develop technologies and research infrastructures, but also strong collaborative networks. For all three thematic groups (LUC, food security, GHG and CSA) data availability, accessibility, and accuracy was the core of all discussions. The workshops also identified an urgent need to address a farmers responsive research to provide accessible know-how in terms of technology and good agricultural practices.



TAB 1: Summary of workshops findings

: Summary of worksh		DEMADUS
DATA NEEDS AND GAPS	Presence of lots of data but: - Low data availability, accessibility, sharing, networking, accuracy and visibility - Data in not usable/understandable format - Problems of <u>time</u> and <u>spatial</u> resolution, low interoperability and quality of data and metada	ata, needs for data repository and increased frequency of data up to-date
NFRASTRUCTURES	<ul> <li>Satellite images as useful tool for information sharing and communication with stakeholders about the state of the art of the environment.</li> <li>Investment needed (e.g. into technologies and equipment)</li> <li>Many efforts in place, but coordination lacking, specific government subsidies required, inadequate road connections from farms to the main markets</li> </ul>	
CAPACITIES	<ul> <li>Not full exploitation of the human capacity potential</li> <li>Need for data management skills</li> <li>Need for capacity building to understand and implement guidelines for GHG emission report</li> </ul>	
CONSTRAINTS	Financial resources -Inadequate financial resources Land - Complex land tenure systems - Land suitability, affordability and fragmentation - Land grabbing and illegal activities (mining, charcoal, logging, etc.) Urbanization - Pressure on farming land and land conversion (from farms to urban areas)	Market & Prices  - Poor and inadequate infrastructures to access to the market  - Inadequate storage and processing facilities  - Price insecurity of agricultural products  - Inadequate system to certify low carbon emission products  - Communication, use of different terminology
SOLUTIONS	Communication  Improve the connection between existing systems (research infrastructures, datasets, etc.)  Farmers responsive research, in response to farmers needs  Bridge between scientific and traditional knowledge for innovative solutions  Considering central rule of farmers as data source and data users of scientific information, products, services, etc.  Citizen science could be a new kind of low cost monitoring infrastructure  Improvement and promotion of climate smart agricultural practices with pilot farming systems (multi-cropping, appropriate irrigation systems, agroforestry, etc.)	<ul> <li>Know-how and connections</li> <li>- Accessible know-how sharing (mobile technology and innovative technologies, education, communication networks, etc.)</li> <li>- Land classification and land productivity assessment</li> <li>- Increase the use of RS data and GIS application</li> <li>- Improved connection between government and farmers through the extension offices</li> </ul>
ADAPTATION VS. MITIGATION		pportunity: mitigation practices are often linked to adaptation practices; sustainable production















**Trinity College Dublin** 











